





SVET measurements combined with in-situ scratching approaches

L.B. Coelho ^a, S. Kossman ^b, A. Montagne ^b, M.-G. Olivier ^{a,c}

^a Materials Science Department, Faculty of Engineering, University of Mons, Mons, Belgium
^b Arts et Métiers Science et Technologie, MSMP, 8, Boulevard Louis XIV, 59000 Lille Cedex, France
^d Materia Nova asbl, Mons, Belgium

September 12th, 2020

Research motivations

The generation of **defects through coatings** are inevitable ...

The time-response of corrosion/inhibition: difficult to predict! M. F. Montemor, Surf. Coatings Technol. 258, 17 (2014)

 \rightarrow timing for defect analysis?

 \rightarrow early stages of corrosion after coating failure?

- Scribed surfaces studied by SVET:
- \rightarrow time-related aspects of inhibition, self-healing, cathodic activation of Mg
- \rightarrow High activities from the first possibly executed scans





ex-situ scratching

L. B. Coelho et al., Corros. Sci. 175, 108893 (2020)

Research motivations

 $\emptyset = 1 \text{ mm}$

200 ur

• Defect-related SVET investigations: low reproducibility!



L. B. Coelho et al., Corros. Sci. 175, 108893 (2020)

Combination of local electrochemical techniques with in-situ scratching?

- Open issues:
- geometry/area/number of defects?

- multiple damaging events? *M. F. Montemor, Surf. Coatings Technol.* 258, 17 (2014)

 Combination of local experimental tools for advancement of anticorrosion systems!

Unique setup for quasi-simultaneous monitoring of current density and pH. S. V. Lamaka et al., Electrochem. Commun. 13, 20 (2011)

P. Schmutz et al., J. Electrochem. Soc. 145, 2295 (1998) Y. Zhu et al., J. Electrochem. Soc. 144, L43 (1997)

New SVET approaches for assessing the corrosion activity of defects created in-situ.

Université de Mons

Scanning Vibrating Electrode Technique

SVET: local characterisation of corrosion activity under free corrosion conditions

<u>Working principle</u>: measurement of **electric potentials** generated due to the flux of **ionic currents** related to the **electrochemical reactions** $\rightarrow \Delta E$ detected using a **vibrating probe**



R.M. Souto, Y. González-García, A.C. Bastos, A.M. Simões, Corros. Sci. 49 (2007) 4568–4580 A.C. S.V. Lamaka, M. Taryba, M.F. Montemor, H.S. Isaacs, M.G.S. Ferreira, Electrochem. Commun. 13 (2011) 20-23

A.C. Bastos et al. J. Electrochem. Soc. 164 (2017) C973–C990

Scratching assembly



Materials

316L stainless steel (electropolished): reproducibility of scratching



Electrochemical testing: industrial structure was preserved!

Hot-dip Galvanised Steel:

Sample preparation

Hot-dip galvanized steel

- surface preparation: ultrasonic/acetone degreasing + washing + air drying;
- surface entirely covered by protective insulating tape (except on the 1 mm diameter testing area).



Scratches produced in-situ:

- in air
- in solution

Indenter displacement = 18-36 µm

Production of in-situ scratches



Line scans across the defect

Scratching in air Vs in solution (0.05 M NaCl) 1 min line scans (~1250 µm length, 51 points)

2) Repetitive scratching in 0.05 M NaCl + 5 mM Na₂MoO₄

30 s line scans (~600 µm length, 11 points)

SVET parameters

Equipment: Applicable ElectronicsTM

Software: Science WaresTM

Pt-Ir probe: Microprobe, Inc

Pt black deposit (Ø ≈ 20 μm) 40 μm vibration amplitude (peak-to-peak) probe-sample distance = 150 μm



→ 10 s time-lag between in-situ scratching and scanning

 j_z profiles continuously (2 s time-lag) taken from the same the location

HDG scratched in air Vs in 0.05 M NaCl



HDG scratched in air Vs in 0.05 M NaCl

Post-mortem analysis

Scratches produced in air:

Scratches produced in solution:

~277 μm length , ~15 μm depth, ~24 μm wide

~267 μ m length, ~16 μ m depth, ~24 μ m wide

\rightarrow similar dimensions

 \rightarrow corrosion products build-up

Multiple scratching in 0.05 M NaCl + 5 mM Na₂MO₄

\rightarrow time for activation \ge 30 s



Context

- The development of **anti-corrosion technologies** depends on:
- the understanding of corrosion/inhibition processes related to microdefects;
- novel experimental designs combining local tools with in-situ protocols for creating defects.

Conclusions

- The combination of SVET with in-situ scratching is a powerful and easy-to-implement approach for early investigation of defect-driven corrosion (HDG).
- Scratching in air Vs in 0.05 M NaCI: different behavior!
- Repetitive scribing in 0.05 M NaCl + 5 mM Na₂MO₄: assessment of time-related aspects of inhibition (dynamic behavior).

Perspectives

- Quantitative information could be derived (kinetic aspects of depassivation/inhibition).
- Promising for tracking the release of inhibitors in self-healing systems (rates, long-term effectiveness).







Thanks for your attention!

Acknowledgments: funding provided by the project TRANSPORT (Interreg France-Wallonie-Vlaanderen)

September 12th, 2020